VI. *An Investigation of the general Term of an important Series in the inverse Method of finite Differences.* By the Rev. John Brinkley, *D. D. F. R. S. and* Andrews *Professor of Astronomy in the University of Dublin. Communicated by the Astronomer Royal.*

Read February 26, 1807.

THE theorems relative to finite differences, given by M. LAGRANGE in the Berlin Memoirs for 1772, have much engaged the attention of mathematicians. M. LAPLACE has been particularly successful in his investigations respecting them ; yet an important difficulty remained, to endeavour to surmount which is the principal object of this Paper. The theorems alluded to may be thus stated.

Let u represent any function of x. Let $x + h$, $x + 2h$, $x + 3h$, &c. be successive values of x, and u_1, u_2, u_3 &c. corresponding successive values of u. Let $\Delta^n u$ represent the first term of the nth order of differences of the quantities u, u_1, u_2 &c. And let also $S^n u$ represent the first term of a series of quantities, of which the first term of the nth order of differences is u. Then (e representing the series $1 + 1 + \frac{1}{1.2} + \frac{1}{1.2.3} +$, &c.)

1. $\Delta^n u = \left(e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} b} - 1 \right)^n$ 2. $S^n u = \left(e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} b} - 1 \right)^{-n}$ provided that in the expansion of $\left(e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} b} - 1 \right)^n$, $\frac{\overset{2}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^2}, \frac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3}$ &c. be substituted for

$\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{2}$, $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{3}$ &c.; and provided that in the expansion of

$(e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}b}-1)^{-n}$, fl.$^{n}u\dot{x}^{n}$, fl.$^{n-1}u\dot{x}^{n-1}$, &c. be substituted for $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{-n}$,

$\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{-n+1}$ &c. and $\frac{\overset{2}{\ddot{u}}}{\dot{x}^{2}}$, $\frac{\overset{3}{\dddot{u}}}{\dot{x}^{3}}$, &c. be substituted for $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{2}$, $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{3}$, &c.

These theorems, which M. LAGRANGE had not demonstrated except by induction, have since been accurately investigated in different ways by M. LAPLACE,[*] and also by M. ARBOGAST[†].

The expanded formula for S^{n}u, or, more accurately speaking, the natural series for S^{n}u is of the form

$$\frac{\alpha}{b^{n}}\,fl.^{n}u\dot{x}^{n}+\frac{\beta}{b^{n-1}}\,fl.^{n-1}u\dot{x}^{n-1}\ldots\ldots\frac{u}{b}\,fl.\,u\dot{x}+vu+\pi\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}h+\rho\frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}^{2}}h^{2}$$

$+$ &c.

The coefficients α, β, γ, &c. are readily obtained by equations of relation, which were first given by LAGRANGE. But to complete the solution it is obviously necessary to obtain the law of progression, and be able to ascertain any coefficient independent of the preceding ones. This has not hitherto been done, as far as I know, except in the case of $n=1$. M. LAPLACE has given a very ingenious investigation for that case.[‡] This has been copied with just encomiums by M. LACROIX,[§] who does not mention that any one had accomplished it for any other value of n. In this Paper the general term is given for any value of n, the law of which is remarkably simple. A particular formula, remarkably simple as to its law, is also given for the case of $n=1$, from which that of LAPLACE may be deduced.

* *Mém. Acad. Scien.* 1777 and 1779.

† ARBOGAST *du Calcul des Derivations,* Art. 395, &c.

‡ *Mém. Acad. Scien.* 1777.

§ LACROIX *Traité des Differences et des Series,* Art. 918.

In the case of $n=1$ and $h=1$ the formula for Su is of the form α fl. $u\dot{x} + \beta u + \gamma \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} + \delta \frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}^2} + $ &c. and exhibits the sum of a series of which u any function of x is the general term. For which purpose it was first given by EULER in the VIth Vol. *Com. Petropol.* and afterwards demonstrated in the VIIIth Vol. of the same work. It has been differently investigated since by several authors.* But LAPLACE appears to have been the first who gave a general term for the coefficients. EULER seems to have sought it in vain, for he says " Ipsa series " coefficientum α, β, γ &c. ita est comparata ut vix credam " pro eâ terminum generalem posse exhiberi."

As preparatory to the main object of this enquiry it has been thought proper to give investigations of the above two theorems, which will probably be found as simple as any that have appeared. It has also been found necessary, for avoiding very complex formula, to adopt a peculiar notation, which requires some explanation.

Notation.

The first term of the nth order of differences of the series 0^m, 1^m, 2^m, &c. is denoted by   - - - - $\Delta^n 0^m$

$\dfrac{x^n}{1.2.3..n}$ is denoted by   - - - - - - $\underline{x^n}$

$\dfrac{\overset{n}{\dot{x}}}{1.2.3..n}$ is denoted by   - - - - - - $\overset{n}{\underline{\dot{x}}}$

$\dfrac{\Delta^n 0^m}{1.2.3..n.1.2.3..m}$ is denoted by   - - - - - $\underline{\Delta^n 0^m}$

which omission of the denominators cannot produce any inconvenience, because the indices sufficiently point them out whether those indices refer to powers, fluxions, or differences.

* MACLAURIN's Fluxions, Vol. II. p. 672, &c. WARING's Med. Analyt. p. 581, &c.

$\frac{1}{1.2.3..n}$ is denoted by   -   -   -   -   - $\underline{1^n}$

$\frac{1}{(1.2.3..n)^m \, 1.2.3..m}$ is denoted by   -   -   -   - $\left(\underline{1^n}\right)^m$

According to which notation,

$$e = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{1.2} + \frac{1}{1.2.3} + \&c. = 1 + 1 + \underline{1^2} + \underline{1^3} + \&c.$$

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{1.2} + \frac{x^3}{1.2.3} + \&c. = 1 + x + \underline{x^2} + \underline{x^3} + \&c.$$

If the quantity $\left(\underline{1^p}\right)^t \left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v$ &c. or $\frac{1}{(1.2..p)^t (1.2..q)^v \, 1.2..t.1.2..v \, \&c.}$

has various values arising from different values of p, q, t, v, &c. then the sum of them all is denoted by $\int \left(\underline{1^p}\right)^t \left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v$ &c.

Theorem I. Let u be a function of x and $x+h$, $x+2h$,--- $x+nh$ successive values of x. Then $\Delta^n u = (e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}b} - 1)^n$ if after the expansion of this latter quantity $\frac{\ddot{u}}{x^2}$, $\frac{\dddot{u}}{x^3}$, &c. be substituted for $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{x}\right)^2$, $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{x}\right)^3$, &c. respectively.

Demonstration. Let the successive values of u be represented by $_1u$, $_2u$, $_3u$, $\ldots _{(n-1)}u$, $_nu$. Then by TAYLOR's theorem,

$$_nu = u + \frac{\dot{u}}{x}nh + \frac{\ddot{u}}{x^2}\underline{n^2}\,h^2 + \frac{\dddot{u}}{x^3}\underline{n^3}\,h^3 + \&c. = u + e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}nb} - 1, \text{sub-}$$

stituting as above-mentioned. In like manner,

$$_{(n-1)}u = u + e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}(n-1)b} - 1, \text{ substituting } \&c.$$

$$_{(n-2)}u = u + e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}(n-2)b} - 1 \ \&c. \ \&c.$$

$$_1u = u + e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}b} - 1 \ \&c. \ \&c.$$

$$u = u + 1 \quad - 1.$$

Hence $u - 1$ being common to each term, we have by the differential theorem,

$$\Delta^n u = e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}nb} - n e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}(n-1)b} + n \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}(n-2)b} -- \pm 1 = (e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}b} - 1)^n$$

substituting as above-mentioned.

Theorem II. $\Delta^n u = \dfrac{\dot{u}^n}{\dot{x}^n} h^n + a \dfrac{\dot{u}^{n+1}}{\dot{x}^{n+1}} h^{n+1} + b \dfrac{\dot{u}^{n+2}}{\dot{x}^{n+2}} h^{n+2} ----$

$\mathrm{M} \dfrac{\dot{u}^{n+m}}{\dot{x}^{n+m}} h^{n+m} + $ &c. where M is the coeff. of h^{n+m} in the ex-

pansion of $(e^b - 1)^n$ or of h^m in the expansion of $\left(\frac{e^b - 1}{b}\right)^n$ or of

$(1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} + $ &c. $)^n$

Dem. By *Theor.* I. $\Delta^n u = (e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{x}b} - 1)^n$ making the necessary
change after expansion. This change does not affect the nu-
merical coefficients, which are evidently the same as those of
$(e^b - 1)^n$, and because $(e^b - 1)^n = h^n (1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} +, $ &c. $)^n$
the theorem is manifest.

Theorem III. The coefficient of $\dfrac{\dot{u}^{n+m}}{\dot{x}^{n+m}} h^{n+m} = \Delta^n \underset{\_}{o}^{n+m}$.

Dem. The successive values of u are

$u, \; u + \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} h + \frac{\dot{u}^2}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 + $ &c., $u + \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} 2h + \frac{\dot{u}^2}{\dot{x}^2} 2^2 h^2 + $ &c., $u + \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} 3h$

$+ \frac{\dot{u}^2}{x^2} 3^2 h^2 + $ &c. and therefore (the whole nth differences
being made up of the nth difference of the parts) we have

$\Delta^n u = \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} h \Delta^n o + \frac{\dot{u}^2}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 \Delta^n \underset{_}{o}^2 + ---- \frac{\dot{u}^{n+m}}{\dot{x}^{n+m}} h^{n+m} \Delta^n \underset{_}{o}^{n+m} + $ &c.

where it is to be observed that whilst $n+m$ is less than n
$\Delta^n \underset{\_}{o}^{n+m} = o$, and $\Delta^n \underset{\_}{o}^n = 1$, as is well known, and easily ap-
pears from *Theorem* II.

Theorems relative to the inverse Method of finite Differences.

Theorem IV. Let u be a function of x and $x+h$, $x+2h$, &c. successive values of x, as before, then

$$S^n u = \left(e^{\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}b} - 1 \right)^{-n} \text{ if after expansion } \left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} \right)^{-n}, \left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} \right)^{-n+1} \text{ &c. are}$$

changed into fl.^{n} $u\dot{x}^n$, fl.$^{n-1}$ $u\dot{x}^{n-1}$, &c. and $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} \right)^2$, $\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} \right)^3$, &c.

into $\frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}^2}$, $\frac{\overset{3}{\dddot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3}$, &c.

Demonstration. By *Theorem* II.

$$(1) \text{---} u = A \underline{\overset{n}{(S^n u)}}_{\dot{x}^n} h^n + B \underline{\overset{n+1}{(S^n u)}}_{\dot{x}^{n+1}} h^{n+1} + C \underline{\overset{n+2}{(S^n u)}}_{\dot{x}^{n+2}} h^{n+2} + \text{ &c.}$$

where A, B, C, &c. are the coefficients of 1, h, h^2, &c. in the expansion of $\left(\frac{e^b - 1}{b} \right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} +, \text{&c.} \right)^n$. So that if v

$= (1 + h + h^2 + \text{ &c.})^n$, making $h=0$, $v=A$, $\dot{v}=Bh$, $\ddot{v}=Ch^2$ &c.

$$(2) \text{--- Let } * \underline{\overset{n}{(S^n u)}}_{\dot{x}^n} h^n = \alpha u + \beta \frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} h + \gamma \frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 + \delta \frac{\dddot{u}}{\dot{x}^3} h^3 + \text{ &c.} \quad \text{It}$$

is evident that this assumption may be made to satisfy the equation (1).

Then, by taking the successive fluxions of equation (2) and substituting in equation (1), we have

* EULER uses a similar assumption in his investigation of the sum of a series from its general term, p. 15, Tom. VIII. *Com. Petropol.*

$$u= \begin{cases} A\alpha u + A\beta \dfrac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} h + A\gamma \dfrac{\overset{2}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 + A\delta \dfrac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3} h^3 + \text{ \&c.} \\[2ex] \qquad B\alpha \dfrac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}} h + B\beta \dfrac{\overset{2}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 + B\gamma \dfrac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3} h^3 + \text{ \&c.} \\[2ex] \qquad\qquad + C\alpha \dfrac{\overset{2}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^2} h^2 + C\beta \dfrac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3} h^3 + \text{ \&c.} \\[2ex] \qquad\qquad\qquad D\alpha \dfrac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{\dot{x}^3} h^3 + \text{ \&c.} \\[1ex] \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{\&c.} \qquad \text{\&c.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$A\alpha = 1$$
$$A\beta + B\alpha = 0$$
$$A\gamma + B\beta + C\alpha = 0 \qquad (3)$$
$$A\delta + B\gamma + C\beta + D\alpha = 0$$
$$\textit{\&c.} \qquad \textit{\&c.} \qquad \text{\&c.}$$

In order to obtain the values of α, β, γ, δ, &c. let z represent a function of h which expanded gives

$$\alpha + \beta h + \gamma h^2 + \delta h^3 + \text{\&c. or } z = \alpha + \beta h + \gamma h^2 + \delta h^3 + \text{\&c.}$$

Then by equations (3) we have, when $h = 0$

$$(4) \cdots v\overset{m}{\dot{z}} + \overset{}{\dot{v}}\overset{m-1}{\dot{z}} + \overset{}{\ddot{v}}\overset{m-2}{\dot{z}} + \cdots \overset{m-1}{\dot{v}}\dot{z} + \overset{m}{\dot{v}}z = 0, m \text{ denoting any}$$

number. For taking m successively 1, 2, 3, &c. and dividing by $\dot{h}$, $\dot{h}^2$, $\dot{h}^3$, &c. we obtain the equations (3). Now the equation (4) is the mth fluxion of

$(5) \cdots vz = $ constant, divided by $1.2.3 \dots m$. When $h=0$

$vz = A\alpha = 1$, hence const. $= 1$, therefore $vz = 1$, or $z = \dfrac{1}{v} =$

$$\left(\frac{h}{e^h-1}\right)^{-n} = \left(1 + \frac{h}{1.2} + \frac{h^2}{1.2.3} + \text{\&c.}\right)^{-n} *$$

---

* It is hoped, that this investigation of the value of z is stated with sufficient clearness. It is a very simple example of a method of very extensive application with respect to analytical functions. The theorems for finding fluxions *per saltum*, communicated by me to the Royal Irish Academy, in November, 1798, and published

Let the equation (2) be multiplied by $\frac{\dot{x}^n}{b^n}$, and the nth fluent taken, and we have

$$\mathrm{S}^n\, u = \frac{\alpha}{b^n}\,\mathrm{fl.}^n\, u\dot{x}^n + \frac{\beta}{b^{n-1}}\,\mathrm{fl.}^{n-1}\, u\dot{x}^{n-1} + \ldots \frac{\mu}{b}\,\mathrm{fl.}\, u\dot{x} + vu +$$

$$\pi \frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}}\, b + \rho \frac{\overset{2}{u}}{\dot{x}}\, h^2 \;\&\mathrm{c}.$$

Also from the above value of z, it is easy to see that the expansion of

$$\left(e^{\frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}} h} - 1 \right)^{-n} = \frac{1}{h^n} \left(\frac{h}{e^{\frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{x}} h} - 1} \right)^{n} \text{ gives}$$

in the seventh volume of their Transactions, furnish a general method of reducing any function of x to a series ascending by the powers of x, and that either by assigning at once the coefficient of x^n, or by equations of relation between the coefficients. By the *converse* of that method we are enabled, either from the general coefficient, or from the equations of relation, to arrive at the primitive function. The converse, therefore, applies to the summation of series, to the investigation of the general term of a recurring series, and to several other important purposes. It is evident, that it applies to finding the general term of a recurring series, because from the given scale of relation, the primitive function can be deduced, and from the primitive function, the general coefficient may be determined. The same method extends to the reduction of any function of x, y, z, &c. and therefore the converse to finding the general term of double, triple, &c. recurring series.

I had not considered the converse of the method of reduction of analytical functions afforded by my theorems for finding fluxions *per saltum*, till I had seen M.ARBOGAST's ingenious work, entitled " Du Calcul des Derivations." As those theorems furnish every thing that is given in the former part of his treatise, and likewise admit of more extensive application; so also the converse of them serve for deducing, with greater facility, every thing respecting recurring series, &c. contained in the same Treatise.

The important uses to be derived from finding fluxions per saltum in the reduction of analytical functions, and from the converse, induced me to draw up a particular work on that subject. Its publication has hitherto been delayed by my unwillingness to offer a fluxional notation different from either that of NEWTON or LEIBNITZ, each of which is very inconvenient as far as regards the application of the theorems for finding fluxions *per saltum*.

$$\frac{\alpha}{b^n}\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{-n} + \frac{\beta}{b^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{-n+1} + \cdots \frac{\mu}{b}\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^{-1} + \nu u + \pi\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)h +$$

$$\rho\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{\dot{x}}\right)^2 h^2 + \&c.$$

Whence the truth of the theorem is manifest.

Theorem V. $S^n u = \left(\frac{\alpha}{b^n}\right)\text{fl.}^n u\dot{x}^n + \frac{\beta}{b^{n-1}}\text{fl.}^{n-1}u\dot{x}^{n-1} + \ldots\&c.$

where the numerical coefficient of the $m+1$ term is the co-

efficient of h^m in the expansion of $\left(1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} + \&c.\right)^{-n}$.

The demonstration of this is contained in that of the last theorem.

Previously to the investigation of the numerical coefficient of the general $m+1$ term, it will be convenient to premise the following lemmas.

Lemma I.   Let n represent any affirmative integral number, and m any other affirmative integral number not greater than n.   Then

$$\int\left(\underline{1^p}\right)^t\left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v\left(\underline{1^r}\right)^w\&c. = \Delta^m\, \underline{0^n}\text{ where } p,\,q,\,r,\,\&c. \;\; : t,\,v,\,w,\,\&c.$$

represent any affirmative integral numbers satisfying the

equations $t+v+w+\&c. = m$

$$tp+vq+wr+\&c. = n.$$

Demonstration.

Let $a^{(1)} = \underline{1^n}$

$a^{(2)} = 1.2\int\left(\underline{1^p}\right)^t\left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v$ where $t+v=2$ & $tp+vq=n$.

$a^{(3)} = 1.2.3\int\left(\underline{1^p}\right)^t\left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v\left(\underline{1^r}\right)^w$ where $t+v+w=3$ and $tp+$

$$vq+rw=n.$$

. . . .

. . . .

$a^{(m)} = 1.2.3..m\int\left(\underline{1^p}\right)\left(\underline{1^q}\right)^v\left(\underline{1^r}\right)^w\&c.$ where $t+v+w+\&c.$

$$=m\text{ and }tp+vq+rw+\&c. =n.$$

Then we shall find that

$$(1) \;---\; \underline{m}^n = m\,a^{(1)} + \frac{m(m-1)}{1.2}\,a^{(2)} + \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{1.2.3}\,a^{(3)} + \cdots$$
$$ma^{(m-1)} + a^{(m)}$$

For taking m quantities α, β, γ, &c. we easily deduce by help of the multinomial theorem,

$$\underline{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+ \text{\&c.})}^n = \underline{\alpha}^n + \underline{\beta}^n + \text{\&c.} + \int \underline{\alpha}^p \underline{\beta}^q + \text{\&c.} +$$
$$\int \underline{\alpha}^p \underline{\beta}^q \underline{\gamma}^r + \text{\&c.} + \text{\&c.}$$

where $p+q=n$, $p+q+r=n$, &c. &c.

Now if we consider a term $\int \underline{\alpha}^p \underline{\beta}^q \underline{\gamma}^r$ &c. where k quantities α, β, γ, &c. are concerned, and if p', p' .. (t' numbers), q', q' .. (v' numbers), r', r' .. (w' numbers), denote values of p, q, r, &c. satisfying the equation $p+q+r+$ &c. (k terms) $=n$, we shall see, that the number of the terms, in which these values p', p', .. q', q', .. r', r', &c. are found, is the number of combination of m things, taking k together into the number of permutations of k things, of which t', v', &c. are the same. This is evident, because in any product $\alpha\beta\gamma$ (k factors), the indices p', p', .. q', q', &c. are to be annexed in every possible order. And when the quantities α, β, γ, &c. are each units, each of the quantities is expressed by the same quantity, $1^{t'} . 1^{t'} ..$ $1^{q'} . 1^{q'} .. 1^{r'} . 1^{r'}$ &c. therefore the sum of all of them is

$$\frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-k+1)}{1.2..k} \times \frac{1.2..k}{1.2..t'.1.2..v'\text{\&c.}} \int \left(1^{'p}\right)^{t'} \left(1^{q'}\right)^{v'} \text{\&c.} \quad \text{Hence,}$$

when α, β, γ, &c. are each unity,

$$\int \underline{\alpha}^p \underline{\beta}^q \underline{\gamma}^r \text{ \&c.} + \text{\&c. } (k \text{ quantities}) = \frac{m(m-1)\;\cdots\;(m-k+1)}{1.2..k}\,a^{(k)}$$

Hence by substituting for k the numbers 1, 2, 3, &c. we easily obtain the equation (1). From which, substituting for m successively 1, 2, 3, &c. we obtain

$$\underline{1}^{n} = a^{(1)}$$

$$\underline{2}^{n} = 2\,a^{(1)} + a^{(2)}$$

$$\underline{3}^{n} = 3\,a^{(1)} + 3\,a^{(2)} + a^{(3)}$$

. . . .

. . . .

$$\underline{m}^{n} = m\,a^{(1)} + \frac{m(m-1)}{1.2}\,a^{(2)} \cdots \cdots m\,a^{(m-1)} + a^{(m)}$$

These equations correspond to the equations between the terms of a series of quantities, and the first terms of their respective orders of differences, i. e. $\underline{0}^{n}$, $\underline{1}^{n}$, $\underline{2}^{n}$, &c. correspond to the series, and $a^{(1)}$, $a^{(2)}$, &c. to the respective orders of differences.

Hence we conclude, that $a^{(m)} = \Delta^{m}\,\underline{0}^{n}$, and therefore that

$$\int \left(\underline{1}^{p}\right)^{t} \left(\underline{1}^{q}\right) \ \&c. = \Delta^{m}\,\underline{0}^{n}.$$

Lemma II. Every thing being, as in the preceding lemma, except that unity is excluded from the values of p, q, r, &c.

$$\int \underline{)1}^{p}\big)^{t} \left(\underline{1}^{q}\right)^{v} \left(\underline{1}^{r}\right)^{w} \&c. = \Delta^{m}\,\underline{0}^{n} - \Delta^{m-1}\,\underline{0}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{1.2}\,\Delta^{m-2}\,\underline{0}^{n-2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{1.2.3}\,\Delta^{m-3}\,\underline{0}^{n-3} + \ldots \text{(to } m \text{ terms.)}$$

Demonstration. Let $b^{(m)}$, $b^{(m-1)}$, $b^{(m-2)}$, &c, represent

$$1.2 \ldots m \int \left(\underline{1}^{p}\right)^{t} \left(\underline{1}^{q}\right)^{v} \&c. ; \quad 1.2 \ldots (m-1) \int \left(\underline{1}^{p}\right)^{t} \left(\underline{1}^{q}\right)^{v} \&c. ;$$

&c. &c. respectively : these latter quantities being defined, as in the preceding lemma, except that unity is excluded from among the values of p, q, &c.

Then it is easy to see, if $a^{(m)}$, $a^{(m-1)}$, &c. denote as in the preceding lemma,

that $a^{(m)} = b^{(m)} + mb^{(m-1)} + \frac{m(m-1)}{1.2}\,b^{(m-2)} + \&c.$

that $a^{(m-1)} = b^{(m-1)} + (m-1) b^{(m-2)} + \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{1.2}$
$$b^{(m-3)} + \&c.$$

. . . .

. . . .

      &c.   &c.

These equations are the same as the equations between the terms of a series of quantities, and the first terms of their respective orders of differences;

. . . $a^{(m-1)}$, $a^{(m)}$, corresponding to the terms of the series,

and . . . $b^{(m-1)}$, $b^{(m)}$, to the first terms of the respective orders of differences.

Whence we conclude, that $b^{(m)} = a^{(m)} - m a^{(m-1)} + \frac{m(m-1)}{1.2}$
$$a^{(m-2)} - \&c. = (\text{by the preceding lemma})$$
$$= \Delta^m \underset{-}{o}{}^n - m \Delta^{m-1} \underset{-}{o}{}^{n-1} + \frac{m(m-1)}{1.2} \Delta^{m-2} \underset{-}{o}{}^{n-2} - \&c. \quad \text{and}$$
therefore, excluding unity from the values of p, q, &c.

$$\int \left(\underline{1}^p\right)^t \left(\underline{1}^q\right)^v \left(\underline{1}^r\right)^w \&c. = \underline{\Delta}^m \underset{-}{o}{}^n - \underline{\Delta}^{m-1} \underset{-}{o}{}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{1.2} \underline{\Delta}^{m-2} \underset{-}{o}{}^{n-2}$$
. . . . $(m \text{ terms})$.

Theorem VI. Let $S^n u = \frac{1}{b^n} \text{ fl. }^n u \dot{x}^n + \frac{c^{(1)}}{p^{n-1}} \text{fl.}^{n-1} u \dot{x}^{n-1}$

$+ \&c. (vid. Theor. V.)$, then $c^{(m)}$ or the coefficient of the $m+1$

term $= -n \left(\frac{n+2}{1}\right) \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) \cdot \cdot \cdot \left(\frac{n+m}{m-1}\right) \underline{\Delta}^1 \underset{-}{o}{}^{m+1} + n (n-1) \left(\frac{n+3}{1}\right)$

$\left(\frac{n+4}{2}\right) \cdot \cdot \left(\frac{n+m}{m-2}\right) \underline{\Delta}^2 \underset{-}{o}{}^{m+2} - n (n-1) (n-2) \left(\frac{n+4}{1}\right) \left(\frac{n+5}{2}\right) \cdot \cdot$

$\left(\frac{n+m}{m-3}\right) \underline{\Delta}^3 \underset{-}{o}{}^{m+3} - \&c. (\text{to } m \text{ terms and } m \text{ factors in each term}).$

Demonstration. (*By Theorem* V.) The coefficient of the

$m + 1$ term is the coefficient of h^m in the expansion of

$$\left(1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} + \&c.\right)^{-n} = (1 + \underline{1}^2 h + \underline{1}^3 h^2 + \&c.)^{-n}.$$

Let $d^{(1)} = \underline{1}^{m+1}$

$d^{(2)} = \int \left(\underline{1}^p\right)^t \left(\underline{1}^q\right)^v$, where $t + v = 2$, $pt + qv = m + 2$, unity being excluded from the values of p, q, &c.

$d^{(3)} = \int \left(\underline{1}^p\right)^t \left(\underline{1}^q\right)^v \left(\underline{1}^r\right)^w$, where $t + v + w = 3$, $pt + qv + rw = m + 3$, unity &c.

. . . .

Then the coefficient of $h^m = -nd^{(1)} + n(n+1)d^{(2)} - n(n+1)$

$(n+2)d..^{(3)} \pm n(n+1)..(n+m-1)d^{(m)}.$

This may easily be deduced from the multinomial Theorem, or more readily from the theorems for finding fluxions *per saltum* in the seventh volume of the Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy.

By *Lemma* II.

$d^{(1)} = \Delta^1 \, \underline{o}^{m+1}$

$d^{(2)} = \Delta^2 \, \underline{o}^{m+2} - \Delta^1 \, \underline{o}^{m+1}$

$d^{(3)} = \Delta^3 \, \underline{o}^{m+3} - \Delta^2 \, \underline{o}^{m+2} + \frac{1}{1.2}\Delta^1 \, \underline{o}^{m+1}$

. . . .

$d^{(m)} = \Delta^m \, \underline{o}^{2m} - \Delta^{m-1} \, \underline{o}^{2m-1} + \frac{1}{1.2}\Delta^{m-2} \, \underline{o}^{2m-2} - \&c.$

Whence the coefficient of $h^m =$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
n \\
n(n+1) \\
n(n+1)(n+2) \\
\hline
1 \qquad 2 \\
(m \text{ terms})
\end{array}
\left|
\begin{array}{c}
-\Delta^1 \underline{o}^{m+1} + n(n+1) \\
n(n+1) \quad (n+2) \\
\underline{n(n+1..(n+3)} \\
1.2 \\
(m-1 \text{ terms})
\end{array}
\right|
\begin{array}{c}
\Delta^2 \underline{o}^{m+2} + n(n+1) \; (n+2) \\
n(n+1)..(n+3) \\
\underline{n(n+1)..(n+4)} \\
1.2 \\
(m-2 \text{ terms})
\end{array}
\left|
\begin{array}{c}
-\Delta^3 \underline{o}^{m+3} \&c. \\
\\
\\
\end{array}
\right.
\end{array}
$$

$= $ (by theorems for figurate numbers)

$$-n \left(\tfrac{n+2}{1}\right)\left(\tfrac{n+3}{2}\right)..\left(\tfrac{n+m}{m-1}\right)\Delta^{1} \underline{o}^{m+1}+n(n+1)\left(\tfrac{n+3}{1}\right)\left(\tfrac{n+4}{2}\right)..\left(\tfrac{n+m}{m-2}\right)$$

$$\Delta^{2} \underline{o}^{m+2} -$$

$$n\,(n+1)(n+2)\left(\tfrac{n+4}{1}\right)\left(\tfrac{n+5}{2}\right)..\left(\tfrac{n+m}{m-3}\right)\Delta^{3}\underline{o}^{m+3}+\&\text{c.} \text{ (to } m \text{ terms}$$

and m factors in each term).

Cor. 1. When $n=1$ the coefficient of $h^{m}=$

$$=-\frac{m(m+1)}{1.2}\Delta^{1}\underline{o}^{m+1}+\frac{(m-1)m(m+1)}{1.2.3}\Delta^{2}\underline{o}^{m\pm2}\frac{(m-2)(m-1)m(m+1)}{1.2.3.4}$$

$$\Delta^{3}\underline{o}^{m+3}\ \&\text{c.}$$

(to m terms).

Cor. 2. The same investigation holds for the coefficient of h^{m} in the expansion of $\left(1+\frac{b}{1.2}+\frac{b^{2}}{1.2.3}+\&\text{c.}\right)^{n}$. So that substituting $-n$ for n in the above expression we obtain by *Theorem* III.

$$\Delta^{n}\underline{o}^{n+m}=\pm n\left(\tfrac{n-2}{1}\right)\left(\tfrac{n-3}{2}\right)..\left(\tfrac{n-m}{m-1}\right)\Delta^{1}\underline{o}^{m+1}\mp n\,(n-1)\left(\tfrac{n-3}{1}\right)\left(\tfrac{n-4}{2}\right)$$

$$..\left(\tfrac{n-m}{m-2}\right)\Delta^{2}\underline{o}^{m+2}\pm\&\text{c.}$$

(to m terms and m factors in each term) the upper signs taking place when m is odd, and the under when even.

This corollary furnishes an important theorem, greatly facilitating the computation of differences. It often affords a much more convenient general term for the numerical coefficients in the expression for $\Delta^{n} u$ than that given in *Theor.* III. *viz.* when m is small compared with n in which case the common method for the computation of $\Delta^{n}\underline{o}^{n+m}$ would be of little use. As in the following example:

Example.   To find the first term of the $n-2$ order of differences of the series x^n, $(x+h)^n$, $(x+2h)^n$, &c.

By *Theorem* III.

$$\Delta^{n-2} x^n = n(n-1)..3x^2 h^{n-2} \Delta^{n-2} 0^{n-2} + n(n-1)..2xh^{n-1}$$
$$\Delta^{n-2} 0^{n-1} + n(n-1)..1h^n \Delta^{n-2} 0^n$$

$$\Delta^{n-2} 0^{n-2} = 1$$

and, By this *Corollary*

$$\Delta^{n-2} 0^{n-1} = (n-2)\Delta^1 0^2 = \frac{n-2}{2}$$

$$\Delta^{n-2} 0^n = -(n-2)(n-4)\Delta^1 0^3 + (n-2)(n-3)\Delta^2 0^4 =$$
$$= \frac{(n-2)(3n-5)}{1.2.3.4}$$

Hence

$$\Delta^{n-2} x^n = 1.2 .. n \left(\frac{x^2}{1.2} h^{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{2}xh^{n-1} + \frac{(n-2)(3n-5)}{1.2.3.4} h^n \right)$$

Scholium.

The case of the above theorem, when $n=1$, has, on account of its importance, been a particular object of investigation among mathematicians. Although the formula in the first corollary is, as to its formation and law of progression, very simple, yet one more simple may be readily obtained by the joint application of a transformation given by M. LAPLACE in his method, and of the first lemma above given; which formula and its investigation are here subjoined. This and the above general formule (n being any number), as well as the formula of LAPLACE (n being 1), do not enable us to compute the successive coefficients so readily as from the equations of relation. But this circumstance, it is imagined, will not render what has been here done less worthy of the notice of mathematicians. Their researches for a general term in the case of

$n=1$, sufficiently shew of what importance the enquiry has been considered.

Theorem. $Su = \frac{1}{b} \text{fl.} u\dot{x} - \frac{u}{2} + a\frac{\dot{u}}{x}h + b\frac{\overset{3}{\dot{u}}}{x^3}h^3 + \ldots P\frac{\overset{m}{\dot{u}}}{x^m}h^m + \&c.$

in which the even powers of h are not found, and P the coeff.

of $\frac{\overset{m}{\dot{u}}}{x^m}h^m = \dfrac{1}{1.2.3..m(2^{m+1}-1)2^m}\left(2^{m-1}\Delta^1 0^m - 2^{m-2}\Delta^2 0^m + 2^{m-3}\right.$

$$\left. \Delta^3 0^m \ldots + \Delta^m 0^m\right).$$

Demonstration. The coefficient of $\frac{\overset{m}{\dot{u}}}{x^m}h^m$, or $c^{(m+1)}$ (*Vid.*

Theorem V.) is the coefficient of h^{m+1} in the expansion of

$\left(1 + \frac{b}{1.2} + \frac{b^2}{1.2.3} + \&c.\right)^{-1}$, or of $\left(\frac{b}{e^b - 1}\right)$

1. Now $\dfrac{b}{e^b - 1} + \dfrac{b}{e^{-b} - 1} = -h \ldots \ldots \ldots (1)$

Let

$1 + Ah + Bh^2 \ldots + Nh^m + Ph^{m+1} + \&c.$ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{represent the ex-}\\\text{pansion of } \frac{b}{e^b-1}, \ m\\\text{being any odd num-}\\\text{ber.}\end{array}\right.$

Then

$-1 + Ah - Bh^2 \ldots + Nh^m - Ph^{m+1} + \&c.$ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{will represent the}\\\text{expansion of } \frac{b}{e^{-b}-1}\end{array}\right.$

Hence by equation (1) we obtain

$A + Ch^2 \ldots + Nh^{m-1} + \&c. = -\frac{1}{2} \ldots . (2)$

From whence it follows that

$A = \frac{1}{2}, \ C = 0 \ldots N = 0 \ldots .$ Hence when m is any odd number the coefficient of $h^m = 0$, and therefore the coefficient of

$\dfrac{\overset{m-1}{\dot{u}}}{x^{m-1}}h^{m-1}$.

2. $\dfrac{b}{e^{b}-1}=\dfrac{\frac{1}{2}b}{e^{\frac{1}{2}b}-1}-\dfrac{\frac{1}{2}b}{e^{\frac{1}{2}b}+1}$ . . . . (3)

Let p, q, r, and s represent the coefficients of h^{m+1}

in the expansion of $\dfrac{b}{e^{b}-1}$, $\dfrac{\frac{1}{2}b}{e^{\frac{1}{2}b}-1}$, $\dfrac{b}{e^{b}+1}$, and $\dfrac{\frac{1}{2}b}{e^{\frac{1}{2}b}+1}$ respectively:

then it is easy to see that $\dfrac{p}{2^{m+1}}=q$, $\dfrac{r}{2^{m+1}}=s$ and therefore by

equation (3) $p=q-s=\dfrac{p-r}{2^{m+1}}$, or $p=\dfrac{r}{1-2^{m+1}}$.

To obtain r the coefficient of h^{m+1} in the expansion of

$h\left(2+h+\dfrac{b^{2}}{1.2}+\&\text{c.}\right)^{-1}$

Let $d^{(1)}=1^{m}$

$d^{(2)}=\displaystyle\int\left(\underline{1^{p}}\right)^{t}\left(\underline{1^{q}}\right)^{v}$ where $t+v=2$ and $pt+qv=m$

$d^{(3)}=\displaystyle\int\left(\underline{1^{b}}\right)^{t}\left(\underline{1^{q}}\right)^{v}\left(\underline{1^{r}}\right)^{w}$ where $t+v+w=3$ and $pt+qv+$

$+rw=m$

&c.   &c.   &c.

Then the coefficient of h^{m} in the expansion of

$\left(2+h+\dfrac{b^{2}}{1.2}+\&\text{c.}\right)^{-1}=$

$-\dfrac{1}{2^{2}}d^{(1)}+\dfrac{1.2}{2^{3}}d^{(2)}-\dfrac{1.2.3}{2^{4}}d^{(3)}+\&\text{c.}$

But by *Lemma* I.

$d^{(1)}=\Delta\underline{o}^{m}$

$1.2\;d^{(2)}=\Delta^{2}\underline{o}^{m}$

$1.2.3\,d^{(3)}=\Delta^{3}\underline{o}^{m}$

&c.   &c.

Hence the coefficient of h^{m+1} in the expansion of $\dfrac{b}{e^{b}-1}$ or co-

efficient of $\dfrac{\overset{m}{\overset{.}{u}}}{\overset{.m}{x}} h^m$ in the expression for $Su =$

$$\dfrac{1}{1.2.3..m\left(2^{m+1}-1\right)2^{m+1}}\left(2^{m-1}\Delta^{1}o^{m}-2^{m-2}\Delta^{2}o^{m}+\ldots+\Delta^{m}o^{m}\right)$$

The same conclusion may be derived somewhat more easily by the assistance of a diverging series, as follows. This investigation, however, is not given as affording the same satisfaction to the mind as the above demonstration,

$$\dfrac{1}{e^{b}+1}=1-e^{b}+e^{2b}-\&c.$$

Hence it is easy to see that the coefficient of h^m

$$=-\underline{1}^{m}+\underline{2}^{m}-\underline{3}^{m}+\&c.\text{ in infinitum.}$$

Also $\dfrac{1}{e^{b}+1}=\dfrac{e^{-b}}{1+e^{-b}}=e^{-b}-e^{-2b}+e^{-3b}-\&c.$

from which it likewise appears that the coefficient of h^m
$=\pm\underline{1}^{m}\mp\underline{2}^{m}\pm\underline{3}^{m}\mp\&c.$, the upper signs taking place when m is even, and the lower when odd. Hence when m is even
$-\underline{1}^{m}+\underline{2}^{m}-\underline{3}^{m}+\&c.=\underline{1}^{m}-\underline{2}^{m}+\underline{3}^{m}-\&c.$ and therefore necessarily $-\underline{1}^{m}+\underline{2}^{m}-\underline{3}^{m}+\&c.=0$. Consequently, when m is even the coefficient of h^m in the expansion of $\dfrac{1}{e^{b}+1}=0$. And generally by the appplication of a well-known theorem,
$$-\underline{1}^{m}+\underline{2}^{m}-\underline{3}^{m}+\&c.=-\dfrac{1}{2}\Delta^{1}\underline{o}^{m}+\dfrac{1}{2}\Delta^{2}\underline{o}^{m}-\&c.$$
Whence, &c. &c.

It may be remarked, that in the above theorem the coefficient of h^m can be computed, without using a higher quantity in the series o^m, 1^m, 2^m, &c. than $\left(\dfrac{m-1}{2}\right)^{m}$. For the first terms of the $\dfrac{m-1}{2}+1$, $\dfrac{m-1}{2}+2$, &c. orders of differences of the series
$\left(-\dfrac{m-1}{2}\right)^{m}$, $\left(1-\left(\dfrac{m-1}{2}\right)\right)^{m}$, $\left(2-\left(\dfrac{m-1}{2}\right)\right)^{m}$, &c. are obtained

without using a higher power than $\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)^m$, and thence $\Delta^{\frac{m+1}{2}} o^m \ldots \Delta^{m-1} o^m$, and it is known, that $\Delta^m o^m = 1\ldots m$. Thus the computation of the latter half of the terms in $\left(2^{m-1} \Delta^1 o^m - 2^{m-2} \Delta^2 o^m + \&c.\right)$ will be much facilitated.

The computation of $\Delta^{m-1} o^m$, $\Delta^{m-2} o^m$, &c. is also much facilitated by *Cor.* II. *Theorem* V.